

Existence of SARS-Cov-2 in the Peritoneal Fluid

Existência de SARS-Cov-2 no líquido peritoneal

Orkun Ilgen^{1®} Mehmet Eyuphan Ozgozen^{2®} Ozgur Appak^{3®} Begum Ertan^{4®} Hikmet Tunc Timur^{5®} Omer Erbil Dogan^{2®} Cemal Posaci^{2®}

¹Gynecologic Oncology Clinic, Erzurum City Hospital, Erzurum, Turkey

² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine, Izmir, Turkey

³ Department of Microbiology, Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine, Izmir, Turkey

⁴Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic, Sandikli State Hospital, Afyon, Turkey

⁵Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic, Urla State Hospital, Izmir, Turkey

Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet 2023;45(5):261-265.

 (\mathbf{i})

Address for correspondence Hikmet Tunc Timur, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dokuz Eylul University Hospital, Izmir, Turkey (e-mail: tunctimur@gmail.com).

Objective To determine the existence of SARS-CoV-2 in the peritoneal fluid to assess the risk of exposure through surgical smoke and aerosolization threatening healthcare workers during abdominal surgery.

Background SARS-CoV-2 is a respiratory virus and possible ways of viral transmission are respiratory droplets, close contact, and fecal-oral route. Surgeries pose risk for healthcare workers due to the close contact with patients. Aerosolized particles may be inhaled via the leaked CO_2 during laparoscopic procedures and surgical smoke produced by electrocautery.

Methods All the data of 8 patients, who were tested positive for COVID–19, were collected between August 31, 2020 and April 30, 2021. Recorded clinicopathologic data included age, symptoms, radiological and laboratory findings, antiviral treatment before surgery, type of surgery and existence of the virus in the peritoneal fluid. Nasopharyngeal swab RT-PCR was used for the diagnosis. COVID–19 existence in the peritoneal fluid was determined by RT-PCR test as well.

Results All 8 COVID–19 positive patients were pregnant, and surgeries were cesarean sections. 1 of the 8 patients was febrile during surgery. Also only 1 patient had

pulmonary radiological findings specifically indicating COVID-19 infection. Laboratory

Keywords

Abstract

THIEME

- SARS-CoV-2
- peritoneal fluid
- surgical smoke
- ► amniotic fluid
- ► COVID-19

findings were as follows: 4 of 8 had lymphopenia and all had elevated D-dimer levels. Peritoneal and amniotic fluid samples of all patients were negative for SARS-CoV-2. **Conclusion** SARS-CoV-2 exposure due to aerosolization or surgical fumes does not seem to be likely, provided the necessary precautions are taken.

received March 2, 2022 accepted March 22, 2023 DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0043-1770129. ISSN 0100-7203. $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2023. Federação Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

Resumo	 Objetivo Determinar a existência de SARS-CoV-2 no fluido peritoneal para avaliar o risco de exposição através da fumaça cirúrgica e aerossolização que ameaçam os profissionais de saúde durante a cirurgia abdominal. Contexto O SARS-CoV-2 é um vírus respiratório e as possíveis formas de transmissão viral são gotículas respiratórias, contato próximo e rota fecal-oral. As cirurgias representam risco para os profissionais de saúde devido ao contato próximo com os pacientes. As partículas aerossolizadas podem ser inaladas através do CO2 vazado durante os procedimentos laparoscópicos e a fumaça cirúrgica produzida pela eletrocauterização.
	Métodos Todos os dados de 8 pacientes, que foram testados positivos para COVID- 19, foram coletados entre 31 de agosto de 2020 e 30 de abril de 2021. Dados clinicopatológicos registrados incluíam idade, sintomas, achados radiológicos e labo- ratoriais, tratamento antiviral antes da cirurgia, tipo de cirurgia e existência do vírus no fluido peritoneal. O diagnóstico foi feito através do swab nasofaríngeo RT-PCR. A existência de COVID-19 no fluido peritoneal foi determinada pelo teste de RT-PCR também.
 Palavras-chave SARS-CoV-2 fluido peritoneal fumaça cirúrgica líquido amniótico COVID-19 	 Resultados Todas as 8 pacientes positivas para COVID-19 estavam grávidas, e as cirurgias eram cesarianas. 1 das 8 pacientes estava com febre durante a cirurgia. Também apenas 1 paciente tinha achados radiológicos pulmonares especificamente indicando infecção por COVID-19. Os achados laboratoriais foram os seguintes: 4 de 8 tinham linfopenia e todas apresentavam níveis elevados de D-dímero. Amostras de fluido peritoneal e líquido amniótico de todas as pacientes foram negativas para SARS-CoV-2. Conclusão A exposição ao SARS-CoV-2 devido à aerossolização ou fumaças cirúrgicas não parece ser provável, desde que sejam tomadas as precauções necessárias.

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) outbreak was declared as a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on January 30, 2020.¹ The disease initially appeared in China and spread to many other countries rapidly. Human to human transmission was demonstrated shortly after the outbreak and the virus infected millions of people around the world in the following months. Early reports showed the mortality rate as 2.3% in China while as %1.6 in other countries.²

SARS-CoV-2 is a respiratory virus and possible ways of viral transmission are respiratory droplets, close contact, and fecal-oral route.³ Operating rooms (OR) are among risky places in situations like viral outbreaks because patients and healthcare workers are often in close contact. National and international surgical guidelines still evolve to protect healthcare workers from the risks posed by their colleagues and patients.

Inhalation of aerosolized particles is considered as a risk factor for transmission of SARS-CoV-2.^{4,5} Surgical smoke due to electrocautery or the leaked CO2 that is used during laparoscopy may be inhaled in the OR. Mintz et al. claimed that laparoscopy should be used if the procedure is more suitable for the patient. They also stated that more evidence – based research is needed to determine the safety of laparos-

copy.⁵ Viral load of the peritoneal fluid seems to be the main factor that increases the risk of transmission through inhalation of leaked laparoscopic gases and smoke due to electrocautery.

This study was designed to evaluate patients, who were tested positive for COVID-19 and underwent obstetrical surgery, regarding the presence of viral genome in the peritoneal cavity.

Methods

All women, who underwent surgery and had positive COVID-19 test results, at Dokuz Eylul University Hospital Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology between August 31,2020 and April 30, 2021 were included in the study. The diagnosis was determined with nasopharyngeal swab test. A positive test was defined as a positive result for SARS-CoV-2 with reverse transcriptase – polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the local ethics committee of Dokuz Eylul University Hospital (No:2021/04–41). Written informed consent forms were obtained from all participants.

The study was designed as a prospective study. The hospital electronic patient database provided epidemiological, clinical, laboratory and radiological data of the patients.

Case No.	Nasal swab for Covid-19	Age (year)	Parity	Gestational age (week)	Birth Weight (g)
1	+	26	0	39	3115
2	+	27	0	40	3348
3	+	30	1	37	3400
4	+	33	2	38	3600
5	+	30	1	39	3217
6	+	27	0	39	3300
7	+	26	2	39	3320
8	+	27	1	40	2960
$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$		28 ± 2			3282 ± 191

Table 1 Characteristics of the patients

Nasopharyngeal swab specimens were tested for SARS-CoV-2 at the microbiology laboratory of the same institution. The test was conducted by using virus mini kit (EZ1 Virus Mini Kit v2.0, Germany), followed by RT-PCR according to WHO guidelines.

Birth weight and gestational age at the delivery were recorded. Amniotic fluid samples were collected in addition to peritoneal irrigation fluid for the pregnant patients during the cesarean section (*C*/S). Peritoneal cavity was irrigated with 10 mL of saline solution and then the irrigation fluid was aspirated for testing. Peritoneal washing aspirate was also used by other researchers.^{6,7} The peritoneal fluid sample was obtained before hysterotomy to prevent contamination. Testing for COVID-19 was performed 24/7 in the institution and therefore specimens were transferred to the laboratory momentarily in sterile vials provided by the laboratory. All biological samples were tested for SARS-CoV-2 with the same kit that was used for the nasopharyngeal specimens followed by RT-PCR according to WHO guidelines.

All analyses were performed by using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25. Only descriptive statistics were calculated and given. Mean \pm standard deviation was used to present the data.

This study was performed in consensus with our universitýs ethics guidelines. The ethics committee approval was obtained for this study (No:2021/04–41).

Results

The mean age of patients was 28 ± 2 years. All patients, who underwent surgery when they were tested positive for COVID-19, were pregnant and all surgeries were cesarean sections. 3 of 8 (37.5%) patient were in their first pregnancy. All patients were at term during birth and only one of them was symptomatic while the other 7 were clinically asymptomatic. The symptomatic patient's body temperature was 39C during admission. This patient was operated 4 days after the onset of fever. Due to pregnancy, ionizing radiation was avoided and no patient had radiological imaging except obstetrical ultrasonography preoperatively. However, computerized tomography (CT) scanning was performed on one patient after birth (case 6). Ground - glass opacities and patchy lung consolidations were detected in the CT scan. None of the patients received antiviral medication preoperatively (**Tables 1** and **2**).

Blood samples from all patients were tested within 24 hours before surgery. Complete blood count, serum c – reactive protein (CRP), alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine concentrations and plasma d – dimer concentrations were recorded. Lymphopenia was detected in 4 of 8 patients and plasma d – dimer concentration was high in all 8 patients as laboratory findings related with COVID – 19 infection (**~Table 3**).

Case No.	Fever	Cough	Dyspnea	Radiological finding	Time between symptoms and delivery (day)	Antiviral treatment before delivery	Covid-19 test in amniotic fluid	Covid-19 test in peritoneal fluid
1	_	_	+	—	4	_	_	_
2	_	-		0	_		_	_
3	_	_		0	_		_	_
4	_	_		0	_		_	_
5	_	_		0	_		_	_
6	-	-	- +	0	-		-	-
7	-	-		0	-		-	-
8	-	-		0	-		-	-

 Table 2
 Clinicopathologic data of the patients

Table 3 Lab	Table 3 Laboratory findings of the patients	of the patients								
Case No.	Leukocyte (x10 ⁹ cells/L)	Lymhpcyte Neutrophile (x10 ⁹ cells/L) (x10 ⁹ cells/L)	Neutrophile (x10 ⁹ cells/L)	Platelet (x10 ⁹ cells/L)	Greactive protein (mg/L)	Aspartate transaminase (IU/L)	Alanine transaminase (IU/L)	Urea (mmol/L)	Creatinine (µmol/L)	D-dimer (µg/ml)
-, -	9.1	1.1*	7.3*	272	70.4*	45*	44	7	0.6	3.2*
2	15.7*	1.7	12.8*	329	4.7	22	10	6.8	0.52	1.8*
с	4.2		2.8	144	11.6*	29	12	3.3	0.53	5.9*
4	10.8*	1.8	8.5*	280	18.8*	15	10	6.7	0.36	1.5*
5	6	•.0	7.8*	308	18.4*	21	6	5.3	0.36	8.1*
9	8	1.7	5.7	326	16.4^{*}	27	23	4.2	0.38	2.4*
7	3.7	*	2.4	255	63*	14	9	6.6	0.36	4*
8	14.2*	2.3	10.9^{*}	162	50.2*	26	6	6	0.39	0.8^{*}
Median (P25–P75)	9 (5.1 -13.3)	1.4 (1–1.7)	7.5 (3.5–10.3)	276 (185–321)	18.6 (12.8–59.8)	24 (16.5–28.5)	10 (9–20.2)	6.6 (4.4–6.9)	0.3 (0.3-0.5)	2.8 (1.5- 5.4)

Cesarean sections were performed in an isolated operating room. The average birth weight was 3282 ± 191 g. Peritoneal irrigation fluid and amniotic fluid samples were tested for SARS-CoV-2 and all samples were determined to be negative.

Discussion

There is limited data regarding intraoperative aerosolization of SARS-CoV-2 at the moment. Many medical institutions have different protocols for urgent and elective surgeries. Our institution requires all patients, who are planned to be operated, to be tested for COVID-19 preoperatively both for elective and urgent procedures. Exceptionally urgent procedures may commence before the test result is obtained. The patients in the study were all cases of cesarean section. Since it was possible to postpone other gynecological procedures at least until the patient's test becomes negative, only obstetrical procedures were performed while the patient had active infection.

Personal protective equipments (PPE) should be used by all healthcare staff, who may be in contact with COVID – 19 positive patients, including surgeons, nurses, anesthesiologists and other personnel. Current knowledge is that SARS-CoV-2 is a respiratory virus and possible ways of viral transmission are respiratory droplets, close contact, and fecal-oral route.^{8–11} Airborne transmission has been Although airborne transmission may be considered as an unlikely way for viral transmission, past experiences on the SARS-CoV outbreak shows us aerosol-generating procedures such as tracheal intubation can have the possibility to spread the disease.^{12,13} However, there is limited data regarding the management of procedures that can result in aerosolization of Covid-19.

There are several studies that investigated the viral existence in the peritoneal fluid.^{7,14} According to our research, there is only one study that demonstrated viral genome in the peritoneal fluid while other studies did not.¹⁵ Absence of the virus may be due to the size of the virus and the pores of the peritoneal membrane. Peritoneal membrane has pores with a maximum diameter of 20–40 nm, in contrast with the diameter of the virus, which is ~125 nm.¹⁶ SARS-CoV-2 was not detected in the peritoneal fluid according to a case report that investigates SARS-CoV-2 existence in the peritoneal cavity of a non-perforated appendicitis patient.⁷ The variation of test methods can play a crucial role in the test results. 20% or even more false negative rates were declared according to the methods of the tests.¹⁷

There are several studies evaluating the viral presence of hepatitis B virus (HBV), human papillomavirus (HPV), and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in surgical smoke. All these viruses were detected in the surgical smoke.^{18–22} On the other hand, during earlier viral outbreaks, the transmission of other coronaviruses such as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV through surgical smoke or laparoscopic gas was never confirmed.²³ Limited controversial data regarding the existence of SARS-CoV-2 in the peritoneal fluid, does not seem to create grave concerns for laparoscopic surgery or using

electrocautery while operating on COVID-19 positive patients. Surgery of COVID-19 patients should be performed by staff with required PPE and the operating rooms should be managed according to current COVID-19 guidelines. Further studies are needed to investigate the viral presence in the peritoneal fluid to establish more reliable protocols.

Most of the patients were asymptomatic and this may be considered as a limitation, since severe cases concomitantly might have higher viral load and a higher probability of viral presence in the peritoneal fluid. Nevertheless, in the light of our findings, laparoscopic surgery or electrocautery usage should not be avoided due to COVID-19 when indicated and performed under required safety precautions. Our data will contribute to the literature and eventually also help to improve the surgical guidelines for COVID-19 patients.

Conclusion

SARS-CoV-2 was not detected in the peritoneal fluids or amniotic fluids of all 8 patients with positive nasopharyngeal test results. Apparently, C/S is not a laparoscopic technique and does not provide an ideal example to assess the risks of laparoscopic surgeries. However, the key point is the virus presence in the peritoneal fluid. Although our study is helpful to understand the risk of aerosolization of SARS-CoV-2 during laparoscopy and electrocautery usage, further studies are needed in the field of surgical safety during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Contributions

OI: conception and design, analysis and interpretation of data, statistical analysis MEO: acquisition of data, drafting of manuscript OA: acquisition of data, technical support BE: analysis and interpretation of data HTT: drafting of the manuscript OED: critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual CP: supervision

Conficts to Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- 1 Al-Omar K, Bakkar S, Khasawneh L, Donatini G, Miccoli P. Resuming elective surgery in the time of COVID-19: a safe and comprehensive strategy. Updates Surg. 2020;72(02): 291–295
- 2 Bogoch II, Watts A, Thomas-Bachli A, Huber C, Kraemer MUG, Khan K. Pneumonia of unknown aetiology in Wuhan, China: potential for international spread via commercial air travel. J Travel Med. 2020;27(02):taaa008
- ³ Chang D, Xu H, Rebaza A, Sharma L, Dela Cruz CS. Protecting health-care workers from subclinical coronavirus infection. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(03):e13
- 4 Zakka K, Erridge S, Chidambaram S, et al; PanSurg collaborative group. Electrocautery, Diathermy, and Surgical Energy Devices: Are Surgical Teams at Risk During the COVID-19 Pandemic? Ann Surg. 2020;272(03):e257–e262

- 5 Mintz Y, Arezzo A, Boni L, et al. The risk of COVID-19 transmission by laparoscopic smoke may be lower than for laparotomy: a narrative review. Surg Endosc. 2020;34(08):3298–3305
- 6 Romero-Velez G, Pereira X, Zenilman A, Camacho D. SARS-Cov-2 was not found in the peritoneal fluid of an asymptomatic patient undergoing laparoscopic appendectomy. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2020;30(06):e43–e45
- 7 Ngaserin SHN, Koh FH, Ong BC, Chew MH. COVID-19 not detected in peritoneal fluid: a case of laparoscopic appendicectomy for acute appendicitis in a COVID-19-infected patient. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2020;405(03):353–355
- 8 Yeo C, Kaushal S, Yeo D. Enteric involvement of coronaviruses: is faecal-oral transmission of SARS-CoV-2 possible? Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;5(04):335–337
- 9 Rhoads DD, Cherian SS, Roman K, Stempak LM, Schmotzer CL, Sadri N. Comparison of Abbott ID Now, DiaSorin Simplexa, and CDC FDA Emergency Use Authorization Methods for the Detection of SARS-CoV-2 from Nasopharyngeal and Nasal Swabs from Individuals Diagnosed with COVID-19. Vol. 58. J Clin Microbiol. 2020
- 10 Zhang W, Du R-H, Li B, et al. Molecular and serological investigation of 2019-nCoV infected patients: implication of multiple shedding routes. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020;9(01):386–389
- 11 Moriguchi T, Harii N, Goto J, et al. A first case of meningitis/encephalitis associated with SARS-Coronavirus-2. Vol. 94, International journal of infectious diseases: IJID: official publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases. 2020. p. 55–8.
- 12 Loeb M, McGeer A, Henry B, et al. SARS among critical care nurses, Toronto. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004;10(02):251–255
- 13 Scales DC, Green K, Chan AK, et al. Illness in intensive care staff after brief exposure to severe acute respiratory syndrome. Emerg Infect Dis. 2003;9(10):1205–1210
- 14 Vudayagiri L, Gusz J. COVID-19 Positive in Nasopharyngeal Swab but Negative in Peritoneal Fluid: Case Report of Perforated Appendicitis. Cureus. 2020;12(07):e9412–e9412
- 15 Coccolini F, Tartaglia D, Puglisi A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Is Present in Peritoneal Fluid in COVID-19 Patients. Ann Surg. 2020;272(03): e240–e242
- 16 Sadioglu RE, Aktar M, Gulten E, et al. Does SARS-CoV-2 reach peritoneal effluent? Vol. 40, Peritoneal dialysis international: journal of the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis. United States2020. p. 520–1
- 17 Xiao AT, Tong YX, Zhang S. False negative of RT-PCR and prolonged nucleic acid conversion in COVID-19: Rather than recurrence. J Med Virol. 2020;92(10):1755–1756
- 18 Baggish MS, Poiesz BJ, Joret D, Williamson P, Refai A. Presence of human immunodeficiency virus DNA in laser smoke. Lasers Surg Med. 1991;11(03):197–203
- 19 Johnson GK, Robinson WS. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) in the vapors of surgical power instruments. J Med Virol. 1991;33(01):47–50
- 20 Neumann K, Cavalar M, Rody A, Friemert L, Beyer DA. Is surgical plume developing during routine LEEPs contaminated with highrisk HPV? A pilot series of experiments. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2018;297(02):421–424
- 21 Sawchuk WS, Weber PJ, Lowy DR, Dzubow LM. Infectious papillomavirus in the vapor of warts treated with carbon dioxide laser or electrocoagulation: detection and protection. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1989;21(01):41–49
- 22 Kwak HD, Kim S-H, Seo YS, Song K-J. Detecting hepatitis B virus in surgical smoke emitted during laparoscopic surgery. Occup Environ Med. 2016;73(12):857–863
- 23 Chang L, Yan Y, Wang L. Coronavirus Disease 2019: Coronaviruses and Blood Safety. Transfus Med Rev. 2020;34(02):75–80