

Conservative Management of Spondylodiscitis after Laparoscopic Sacral Colpopexy: A Case Report and Review of Literature

Manejo conservador da espondilodiscite após colpopexia sacral laparoscópica: Relato de caso e revisão da literatura

Madalena Andrade Tavares¹ Ana Rita Silva¹ Marta Gomes de Melo¹ Márcia Pacheco²
Nuno Coutinho³ Alexandre Ambrósio¹ Paula Tapadinhas¹

¹Gynecology and Obstetrics Service, Hospital Vila Franca de Xira, Lisboa, Portugal

²Internal Medicine Service, Hospital Vila Franca de Xira, Lisboa, Portugal

³Orthopedics Service, Hospital Vila Franca de Xira, Lisboa, Portugal

Address for correspondence Madalena Andrade Tavares, MD, Estrada Carlos Lima Costa n° 2, 2600-009 Vila Franca de Xira, Portugal (e-mail: madalena.tavares@hvfpx.pt).

Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet 2021;43(7):570–577.

Abstract

Sacral colpopexy is one of the standard procedures to treat apical pelvic organ prolapse. In most cases, a synthetic mesh is used to facilitate the colposuspension. Spondylodiscitis is a rare but potentially serious complication that must be promptly diagnosed and treated, despite the lack of consensus in the management of this complication. We report one case of spondylodiscitis after a laparoscopic supracervical hysterectomy and sacral colpopexy treated conservatively. We also present a literature review regarding this rare complication. A conservative approach without mesh removal may be possible in selected patients (stable, with no vaginal lesions, mesh exposure or severe neurologic compromise). Hemocultures and culture of image-guided biopsies should be performed to direct antibiotic therapy. Conservative versus surgical treatment should be regularly weighted depending on clinical and analytical progression. A multidisciplinary team is of paramount importance in the follow-up of these patients.

Keywords

- ▶ spondylodiscitis
- ▶ sacral colpopexy
- ▶ pelvic organ prolapse
- ▶ urogynecological mesh
- ▶ discitis

Resumo

Palavras-chave

- ▶ espondilodiscite
- ▶ colpopexia sacral
- ▶ prolapso de órgãos pélvicos
- ▶ tela uroginecológica
- ▶ discite

A colpopexia sacral é um dos procedimentos padrão para tratar o prolapso de órgãos pélvicos apical. Na maioria dos casos, uma tela sintética é usada para facilitar a colposuspensão. A espondilodiscite é uma complicação rara, mas potencialmente grave, que deve ser prontamente diagnosticada e tratada, apesar da falta de consenso no manejo dessa complicação. Relatamos um caso de espondilodiscite após histerectomia supracervical laparoscópica e colpopexia sacral tratada conservadoramente. Também apresentamos uma revisão da literatura sobre essa complicação rara. Uma abordagem conservadora sem remoção da tela pode ser possível em pacientes

received
October 21, 2020
accepted
July 21, 2021

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1735153>.
ISSN 0100-7203.

© 2021. Federação Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

selecionadas (estáveis, sem lesões vaginais, exposição da tela ou comprometimento neurológico grave). Hemoculturas e cultura de biópsias guiadas por imagem devem ser realizadas para direcionar a antibioticoterapia. O tratamento conservador versus o cirúrgico deve ser avaliado regularmente, dependendo da progressão clínica e analítica. Uma equipe multidisciplinar é de suma importância no acompanhamento desses pacientes.

Introduction

Pelvic organ prolapse (POP) is the descent of female pelvic organs (vagina, uterus, bladder, and/or rectum) into or through the vagina. It occurs in between 30 and 76% of women referred to a routine gynecological appointment,¹ and between 7 and 19% of them undergo surgical repair.²

Sacral colpopexy is the gold standard procedure for apical prolapse correction, also allowing simultaneous correction of other compartment defects. The reported long-term success is between 78 and 100%, with recurrence rates of 0 to 18%.² The procedure can be performed by laparotomy, laparoscopy, or robotic-assisted laparoscopy.

Sacral colpopexy, either abdominal or minimally-invasive, is usually a safe procedure, but may be associated with complications such as mesh erosion (reported in 3.4% of the cases in abdominal sacral colpopexy and in 2% in robotic-assisted sacral colpopexy), urinary tract infection (10.9%), hemorrhage and/or blood transfusion (4.4%), ileus or small bowel obstruction (2.7%), thromboembolic events (3.3%), urinary injury (4.1%), fistula development, infectious complications (peritonitis, periprosthetic abscess), and spondylodiscitis.³⁻⁵ Since some of these complications are uncommon, it is difficult to determine the exact prevalence of these events.

Spondylodiscitis is a rare but serious complication of this procedure characterized by the development of an inflammatory process, infectious or not, that involves vertebral bodies and intervertebral discs of the vertebral spine.⁶ It is associated with potentially severe consequences, such as multiple surgeries, prolonged immobilization and hospitalization, neural axis infection, chronic pain, and permanent disability. Considering that the disc space has no direct blood supply, spondylodiscitis develops after hematogenous spread or direct inoculation of microorganisms during invasive spinal surgical procedure. Risk factors for the development of spondylodiscitis, after sacral colpopexy, include infection of other organs (urinary, vaginal, oral, respiratory, and gastrointestinal) and vaginal mesh exposure.⁷ Its clinical presentation varies from indolent with no fever to high fever, back pain, mobility limitation, pain radiation to the lower extremities, and vaginal discharge.⁸

Most of the reported cases of spondylodiscitis after sacral colpopexy required at least one surgical procedure during the treatment of this complication.⁸

We present a case of spondylodiscitis after a laparoscopic supracervical hysterectomy and sacral colpopexy that was treated conservatively with antimicrobial agents. This approach avoided a second surgical procedure with removal of

the mesh and eventual recurrence of her prolapse. In addition, we reviewed the literature concerning this type of complication after a sacral colpopexy. We revised the most common surgical route used, types of sutures and meshes, how long after the primary surgery the spondylodiscitis manifested, and if there were any previous infectious episodes associated. Our research also included the type of microorganisms detected in culture samples that could alter the antibiotic therapy normally used in other types of spondylodiscitis.

Case Report

A 65-year-old multiparous woman with a previous history of type II diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, bilateral gonarthrosis and gouty arthropathy was referred to our hospital due to complaints of POP. A physical examination revealed a stage 3 prolapse with uterine leading edge according to the POP Quantification system (POP-Q),⁹ and she underwent a laparoscopic supracervical hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy and sacral colpopexy. A non-absorbable prolene soft mesh (Gynecare Gynemesh (ETHICON, LLC, San Lorenzo, Porto Rico)) was attached to the anterior and posterior vaginal walls (one suture anteriorly and two sutures posteriorly) and to the sacral promontory (one suture) using a sterile nonabsorbable braided polyester surgical suture (Ethibond Excel, ETHICON, Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA). At the level of the levator ani muscle, a sterile absorbable polydioxanone monofilament suture (PDS II 2/0 - ETHICON, Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA) was used. The mesh was peritonealized using an absorbable synthetic polyglactin braided suture (VICRYL 1/0 - ETHICON, Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA). Intravenous cefazolin (2 g) was administered as perioperative antimicrobial prophylaxis. The surgery and the immediate postoperative period were uneventful.

One month after the surgery, the patient presented to the emergency department with complaints of lumbosacral minor pain. She was hemodynamically stable and afebrile. Her urine analysis was positive for leucocytes and proteins, and oral antibiotic ambulatory treatment (amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, according to national guidelines for uncomplicated urinary tract infection)¹⁰ was prescribed. Three months postoperatively, the patient was readmitted with progressive and intense lumbosacral pain radiating to both lower limbs and severe gait limitation. She denied fever, vaginal bleeding, vaginal discharge, urinary complaints, or abdominal pain. The patient was afebrile and her physical examination, including the gynecological exam, showed no abnormalities. There was no evidence of vaginal lesions or mesh exposure. Her blood tests revealed an elevated C-reactive protein (CRP




Fig. 1 Magnetic resonance imaging before treatment – Accentuated edema of the somatic L5 and S1 sponge with erosive anomalies in the vertebral plates, suggestive of spondylodiscitis. Associated phlegmon with anterior extension to the sacrum and to the posterior peridural region.

9.51mg/dL) with a normal white cell count. The remaining blood tests were unremarkable. Her blood, vaginal (including for bacterial vaginosis), and urine cultures did not reveal any atypical or overwhelming microbial growth. A computed tomography (CT) exam showed an anterior interruption of the bone cortical in L5 and S1 with inflammatory reaction involving the intervertebral disc.

A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) exam revealed an accentuated edema of the somatic L5 and S1 sponge with erosive anomalies in the vertebral plates of these vertebrae, suggestive of spondylodiscitis with associated phlegmon with anterior extension to the sacrum and to the posterior peridural region (–Fig. 1).

After multidisciplinary discussion (internal medicine, gynecology and orthopedics), the most likely diagnosis of spondylodiscitis after sacral colpopexy was assumed and a conservative management was implemented (broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotic therapy and physiotherapy).

The patient fulfilled 6 days of intravenous empiric therapy with vancomycin and ceftriaxone (according to international guidelines regarding vertebral osteomyelitis)¹¹ and then, after discussion with the infectious diseases consultant, continued with 19 days of intravenous amoxicillin and clavulanic acid (to cover anaerobic agents, including *Bacterioides* spp.), with progressive clinical (pain, gait) and analytical (CRP 1.11mg/dL at discharge) improvement. The MRI findings on the 18th day of hospitalization remained stable and without neurologic involvement.

The patient was discharged on the 25th day of hospitalization, without requiring analgesic medication and medicated with amoxicillin and clavulanic acid per os (until completing a total of 6 weeks of antibiotic therapy with amoxicillin and clavulanic acid) (–Tables 1 and 2).

Twenty-four months postsurgery, the patient demonstrated a favorable improvement with minor lumbosacral pain radiating to both proximal lower limbs without needing

analgesic medication and with unlimited mobility. An MRI exam revealed resolution of the inflammatory peridural component with postinfection ankylosis signs of L5-S1 (–Fig. 2). There was no recurrence of the POP. The patient

Table 1 Characteristics before complication

Characteristic	Data
Mean age in years (range)	60 (41–81)
Surgical route, n (%)	
Laparotomic	19 (36.5)
Laparoscopic	23 (44.2)
Robotic-assisted laparoscopy	8 (15.4)
Not reported	2 (3.8)
Concomitant Procedures, n (%)	
Total hysterectomy	13 (25)
Supracervical hysterectomy	12 (23)
Salpingo-oophorectomy	(17.3)
Rectopexy	7 (13.5)
Burch procedure	3 (5.8)
Sacral anchorage, n (%)	
Synthetic mesh	28 (53.9)
Strips	4 (7.7)
Partially absorbable	4 (7.7)
Biological mesh	1 (1.9)
Direct sutures	2 (3.8)
Unknown	13 (25)
Attachment to the promontory, n (%)	
Nonabsorbable sutures	19 (36.5)
Staples, clips, tacks, or screws	12 (23.1)
Unknown	21 (40.4)

Table 2 Characteristics of the complication

Characteristic	Data
Mean time to presentation (range)	12 months (2 days – 8 years)
Initial infection or complication, n (%)	
Urinary infection	9 (17.3)
Dental infection	1 (1.9)
Isolated vaginal discharge	2 (3.8)
Isolated vaginitis	3 (5.8)
Vaginal mesh exposure	2 (3.8)
Vaginal symptoms with vaginal mesh exposure or vaginal lesion	7 (13.6)
Small bowel obstruction	1 (1.9)
Sigmoid diverticulitis	1 (1.9)
Fistula development	1 (1.9)
Not reported	25 (48.1)
Microbiological analysis, n (%)	
Not reported	8 (15.4)
Performed and negative	7 (13.6)
Performed and positive	37 (71)
Management, n (%)	
Antibiotic treatment alone	13 (25)
Antibiotic and surgical treatment	37 (71)
Unknown	2 (3.8)

provided informed consent for the publication of the present case and accompanying images.

Discussion

Case Discussion

According to the literature, pyogenic spondylodiscitis can be treated with antibiotics in between 50 and 75% of the cases.¹²

However, there is little evidence about the correct management of pyogenic spondylodiscitis after abdominal surgery. *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Streptococcus* spp. are the main responsible microorganisms in 50% of all pyogenic spondylodiscitis cases, followed by gram-negative bacilli; therefore, antibiotic therapy with vancomycin and a third- or fourth-generation cephalosporin are usually administered empirically until the results of microbiology are available.¹¹ The ideal total duration of antibiotic therapy is not well-defined, with some guidelines recommending 6 weeks of total antibiotic therapy (intravenous and oral) with careful review to determine if further treatment is required.^{11,13} Other centers recommend intravenous antibiotics for 4 to 6 weeks or until normalization of CPR levels, followed by oral antibiotics until a total of 3 months of treatment.¹²

The risks and benefits of surgery should be weighted and adapted to the general status, comorbidities, and response to antibiotic treatment of the patient. Surgery is definitely indicated in case of neural compression, neurologic deficit, progressive deformation or instability or in case of medical treatment failure.^{7,14} Surgical treatment often includes mesh removal and/or other orthopedic procedures (laminectomy, discectomy etc).

Although some authors recommend an additional surgery for mesh removal as soon as the diagnosis of spondylodiscitis after sacral colpopexy is confirmed,¹⁵ we opted for a different approach. As our patient did not present severe systemic or neurological symptoms, mesh exposure or vaginal lesions and considering that it was a clean surgery (supracervical hysterectomy without entering the vagina), we decided to initiate a conservative treatment with daily close surveillance. The patient was treated as an inpatient during the 25 days of intravenous antibiotic therapy, which allowed progressive recovery (clinical and analytical) and reinforced the decision of maintaining the conservative treatment. The patient was discharged when her CRP was almost negative and when she became independent from intravenous analgesia.




Fig. 2 Magnetic resonance imaging after treatment – Resolution of the inflammatory peridural component with post-infection ankylosis signs of L5-S1.

Even though microbiological cultures (vaginal, urinary, and hematologic) were negative, we verified that, with empirical antibiotic treatment, there was a favorable clinical, analytical and imaging evolution, dismissing the need for a second surgical intervention with eventual mesh removal. This required a close follow-up but without recurrence of pelvic organ prolapse, which was not evaluated in most of the other reports.

However, it would have been recommended to perform an image-guided aspiration or biopsy to establish the exact microorganism causing this complication. Image-guided aspiration or biopsy or intraoperative samples of the infected region remain a very important diagnostic tool that allows pathogen-directed therapy.¹¹

It is also important to notice that even though permanent braided sutures may be associated with increased infectious risk, these sutures provide greater knot security than monofilament sutures, which, in this type of surgery, reduce the risk of mesh detachment and recurrent prolapsus.

Literature Review

We performed a PubMed search of the literature on October 4, 2020, using the following terms: *spondylodiscitis*, *discitis*, *osteomyelitis*, *pyogenic spondylodiscitis*, *pyogenic discitis*, *pyogenic osteomyelitis* or *vertebral osteomyelitis* and *sacral colpopexy*, *pelvic organ prolapse* or *promontofixation*. Additionally, the references of all articles included were confirmed for further information and eventual description of other cases.

Data extraction from PubMed provided a total of 36 abstracts, and further reference search yielded additional 8 articles, gathering a total of 52 cases,^{6-8,14-52} including ours. The summary of the results is presented in ► **Tables 1** and **2**. The list of all studied cases with their detailed characteristics is presented in the ► **Supplementary Table S1** (available online).

In the reported cases of spondylodiscitis after sacral colpopexy, the surgical route was laparotomic in 36.5%, laparoscopic in 44.2%, and robotic-assisted laparoscopy in 15.4%. Sacral anchorage was performed with synthetic mesh (nonabsorbable or partially absorbable) or strip in the majority of the cases (63.5%). Biologic meshes and direct sutures were used in 1.9 and 3.8% of the surgeries, respectively. In 25% of all cases, the type of sacral anchorage was not specified. The attachment to the promontory was made with sutures in 36.5% (all nonabsorbable sutures) and with staples, clips, tacks or screws in 23% of the cases. In 40.4% of the cases, information about attachment was lacking.

There is still debate regarding the best mesh and suture material to use, but, as observed, nonabsorbable material is the most frequently used, with better results in terms of prolapse resolution.⁵³

The time between surgery and clinical presentation of spondylodiscitis ranged from a few days after surgery up to 8 years, but the majority presented with symptoms < 5 months after surgery (75%).

Spondylodiscitis was preceded by a documented infection or other complication in 52% of the cases. Previous urinary or

dental infection was reported in 19.2% of the cases. Isolated vaginal discharge or vaginitis were reported in 9.6%, while mesh erosion without vaginal symptoms occurred in 3.8% of the cases. In 13.5% of the reported cases, there was a combination of vaginal symptoms (vaginitis/vaginal discharge) with vaginal mesh exposure or vaginal lesion (vaginal ulcer/vaginal apex opening) – in one of these cases, there was a concomitant urinary tract infection and, in another case, small bowel obstruction was reported. Urinary tract infection as a previous infection occurred more frequently in women who underwent supracervical hysterectomy (5 cases in 23 hysterectomies) than in those who underwent total hysterectomy (0 cases in 25 total hysterectomies). On the other hand, vaginal symptoms and mesh exposure seem to occur more frequently in total hysterectomies (6 in 25 hysterectomies versus 1 in 23 supracervical hysterectomies).

Other events that preceded the presentation of spondylodiscitis were: small bowel obstruction (two cases – one of them in association with vaginal discharge and mesh erosion), sigmoid diverticulitis (one case), and a fistula development from rectopexy to the anterior vertebral ligament (one case).

In 44 of the 52 studied cases, there were reports on the microbiological analysis of collected samples (blood, urine, vaginal, image-guided biopsies/aspirations of infection site, surgical samples of the mesh or the surrounding tissue). Of these, 13.5% ($n = 7$) had negative cultures. From the 44 cases that reported microbiological analysis, a single microorganism was found in 47.7% ($n = 21$), and polymicrobial infections were present in 36.4% ($n = 16$).

The most prevalent microorganism detected were: *Bacteroides* spp. (19.2%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (15.4%), *Escherichia coli* (15.4%), and *Enterococcus* spp. (13.5%). The prevalence of infections related to *Streptococcus* spp. and *Staphylococcus* spp. was 32.7%.

In 15 cases, there was information about peripheral cultures (blood, urine, and vaginal swab), documenting 2 negative and 13 positive cases. In this type of microbiological culture (31% polymicrobial infections), the most prevalent microorganisms detected were: *Bacteroides* spp. (38.5%) followed, in equal prevalence of 31% by *S. aureus*, *E. coli* and *Streptococcus* spp.

Regarding cultures from the infection site or local cultures (image-guided biopsies/aspirations or intraoperative samples including mesh culture), the review revealed 6 negative and 33 positive cultures. In the positive cultures group, *S. aureus* was the most prevalent microorganism (21%), followed by *Bacteroides* spp. (18%), *Enterococcus* spp. (15%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (15%). The prevalence of infections related to *Streptococcus* spp. and/or *Staphylococcus* spp. was 46% in peripheral cultures and 39% in cultures from the infection site.

Unfortunately, cultures from the infection site are not always consistent with peripheral cultures. From all the positive peripheral cultures, only in 62% (8 cases) the microorganism detected was the same as in the culture from the infection site (7 cases of positive hemocultures and 1 case of positive uroculture). Even for positive hemocultures, 36% did

not correspond to the same microorganism of the local culture. Therefore, although peripheral cultures (mainly hemocultures) are strongly recommended to detect all possible microorganisms responsible for this infection, they will not be enough to narrow the empirical antibiotic therapy. The most recommended diagnostic tool for microorganism detection is image-guided biopsy/aspiration or culture from intra-operative samples.

During our review, we noticed that the prevalence of infections due to *Streptococcus* spp. and *Staphylococcus* spp. as a group was lower than the one reported in the literature for pyogenic spondylodiscitis (50%), and other microorganisms such as *Bacteroides* spp., *E. coli* and *Enterococcus* spp. had a relevant prevalence. This emphasizes the paramount importance of sample collection for microbiological analysis and antibiotic therapy decision and of the need to include anaerobe coverage if an empirical antibiotic therapy is started.

In addition to our case, the research revealed 12 cases that were treated only with antibiotics. In 11 of these cases, there was a positive hemoculture or site infection culture (in 1 case there is no information about cultures), with *Bacteroides* spp., *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* being the most common pathogens identified. The type of antibiotic treatment in this group was heterogenous, and its duration varied from 4 weeks up to 6 months (4 weeks in 9%; 8 to 12 weeks in 64%; and > 12 weeks in 27% of the patients).

Most cases of surgical treatment (performed in 37 cases) involved mesh or bone anchors removal (81% of all cases treated surgically; 57.7% of all reported cases). Other common associated procedures were discectomy, laminectomy, and abscess debridement. In some cases, the patient underwent > 1 surgery to treat the complication. The duration of the antibacterial treatment in surgically treated patients was not always revealed (only in 43.6% of the cases). In the described cases, it was maintained for 2 to 14 weeks (< 6 weeks in 23.5%; 6 to 8 weeks in 35.3%; 8 to 12 weeks in 17.7%; and > 12 weeks in 23.5% of the patients), while antifungal therapy was prolonged for 12 months in 1 patient.

Recommendations to prevent spondylodiscitis associated with sacral colpopexy have been suggested. During this procedure, the step of fixation of the mesh to the sacral promontory (defined as the most superior point on the anterior surface of S1) is of paramount importance not only for diminishing the risk of hemorrhage but also to avoid making a very deep stitch or risking incorrect location.⁵⁴

The suture should be attached to the anterior longitudinal ligament keeping in mind that its maximum thickness is 2 mm. Additionally, it is of utmost relevance to avoid placing the suture in the region of the disc space. To achieve this, the surgeon should directly visualize the ligament as well as palpate the hard-bony part instead of the spongy disc (easier at laparotomy than in minimally invasive procedures). Good et al.⁵⁴ reported the existence, in the supine position, of an acute angle of descent (average 60°) between the anterior surfaces of L5 and S1. Consequently, the most prominent structure in the presacral space is the L5-S1 disc and not the "true" sacral promontory. Thereby, if the surgeon does not

acknowledge this fact, it is not infrequent for the mesh to be attached to the intervertebral disc.⁵⁴

Conclusion

We analyzed 52 cases of spondylodiscitis after sacral colpopexy. Being a rare complication of sacral colpopexy, there should be a high index of suspicion for spondylodiscitis after this type of procedure. In 52% of the cases, there was a previous documented infection (mainly vaginal or urinary) or other complication (mesh exposure), and these patients should be followed-up closely to precociously detect this possible complication. Back pain associated with elevated CRP after this procedure should lead us to further investigation to achieve a prompt diagnosis and initiate adequate treatment. A conservative approach without mesh removal may be possible with vigilant attention. However, hemocultures and image-guided biopsy/aspiration of the infection site should not be neglected, since the microorganisms causing this complication may be different from the typical *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Streptococcus* spp. responsible for 50% of other types of spondylodiscitis. If empirical treatment is prescribed, it should also cover anaerobe, to cover for *Bacteroides* spp., which is one of the most common microorganisms detected in this complication. The patient should be admitted for attentive surveillance and intravenous antibiotic therapy and analgesia. The authors recommend conservative treatment in stable patients without vaginal mesh exposure, vaginal lesion, neural compression, neurologic deficit, progressive deformation, or instability. If there is a favorable recovery of clinical symptoms and analytical parameters, conservative treatment should be maintained with prolonged antibiotic therapy for at least 6 weeks. On the other hand, neurological aggravation or conservative treatment failure should redirect the approach for a surgical treatment. It is also of paramount importance that a multidisciplinary team is implicated in the follow-up of these patients.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

- 1 Barber MD. Pelvic organ prolapse. *BMJ*. 2016;354:i3853. Doi: 10.1136/bmj.i3853
- 2 Nygaard I, Brubaker L, Zyczynski HM, Cundiff G, Richter H, Gantz M, et al. Long-term outcomes following abdominal sacrocolpopexy for pelvic organ prolapse. *JAMA*. 2013;309(19):2016–2024. Doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.4919
- 3 Campos S, Billone V, Durão M, et al. Complications of laparoscopic sacropexy: as harmless as they seem? *Acta Obstet Gynecol Port*. 2016;10(01):21–31
- 4 Matthews CA. Minimally invasive sacrocolpopexy: how to avoid short- and long-term complications. *Curr Urol Rep*. 2016;17(11):81:1–7. Doi: 10.1007/s11934-016-0638-7
- 5 Nygaard IE, McCreery R, Brubaker L, et al; Pelvic Floor Disorders Network. Abdominal sacrocolpopexy: a comprehensive review. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;104(04):805–823. Doi: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000139514.90897.07
- 6 Api M, Kayatas S, Boza A. Spondylodiscitis following sacral colpopexy procedure: is it an infection or graft rejection? *Eur J*

- Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2015;194:43–48. Doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2015.08.003
- 7 Propst K, Tunitsky-Bitton E, Schimpf MO, Ridgeway B. Pyogenic spondylodiscitis associated with sacral colpopexy and rectopexy: report of two cases and evaluation of the literature. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2014;25(01):21–31. Doi: 10.1007/s00192-013-2138-3
 - 8 Müller PC, Berchtold C, Kuemmerli C, Ruzza C, Z'Graggen K, Steinemann DC. Spondylodiscitis after minimally invasive recto- and colpo-sacropexy: Report of a case and systematic review of the literature. *J Minim Access Surg.* 2020;16(01):5–12. Doi: 10.4103/jmas.JMAS_235_18
 - 9 Haylen BT, Maher CF, Barber MD, Camargo S, Dandolu V, Digesu A, et al. An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA) / International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for female pelvic organ prolapse (POP). *Int Urogynecol J.* 2016;27(02):165–194. Doi: 10.1007/s00192-015-2932-1
 - 10 Paiva JA, Sá AB, Froes F, et al. Norma da Direção geral de saúde: Terapêutica de infeções do aparelho urinário: Norma DGS 015/2011 [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2021 Jan 12]. Available from: <http://nocs.pt/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/Terapêutica-de-infeções-do-aparelho-urinário-comunidade.pdf>
 - 11 Berbari EF, Kanj SS, Kowalski TJ, Darouiche RO, Widmer AF, Schmitt SK, et al; Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2015 Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of native vertebral osteomyelitis in adults. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(06):e26–e46. Doi: 10.1093/cid/civ482
 - 12 Cheung WY, Luk KD. Pyogenic spondylitis. *Int Orthop.* 2012;36(02):397–404. Doi: 10.1007/s00264-011-1384-6
 - 13 Bernard L, Dinh A, Ghout I, Simo D, Zeller V, Issartel B, et al; Duration of Treatment for Spondylodiscitis (DTS) study group. Antibiotic treatment for 6 weeks versus 12 weeks in patients with pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis: an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2015;385(9971):875–882. Doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61233-2
 - 14 Jenson MD AV, Scranton R, Antosh DD, Simpson RK. Lumbosacral osteomyelitis and discitis with phlegmon following laparoscopic sacral colpopexy. *Cureus.* 2016;8(07):e671:1–8. Doi: 10.7759/cureus.671
 - 15 Gungor Ugurlucan F, Yasa C, Demir O, Basaran S, Bakir B, Yalcin O. Long-term follow-up of a patient with spondylodiscitis after laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy: an unusual complication with a review of the literature. *Urol Int.* 2019;103(03):364–368. Doi: 10.1159/000494370
 - 16 Qu DC, Chen HB, Yang MM, Zhou HG. Management of lumbar spondylodiscitis developing after laparoscopic sacrohysteropexy with a mesh: A case report and review of the literature. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2019;98(49):e18252:1–7. Doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000018252
 - 17 Miksić NG, Kljaić Dujić M, Rejc Marko J, Voršič M, But I. *Bacteroides fragilis* sacral spondylodiscitis and epidural abscess after sacrocolpopexy: a case report and literature review. *J Int Med Res.* 2019;47(09):4568–4574. Doi: 10.1177/0300060519866270
 - 18 Sato H, Teramoto S, Sato K, Abe H. Surgical management of mesh infection following laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy and tension-free vaginal mesh surgery: a report of two cases with a literature review. *IJU Case Rep.* 2018;2(01):54–56. Doi: 10.1002/iju5.12039
 - 19 Boyd B, Pratt T, Mishra K. Fungal lumbosacral osteomyelitis after robotic-assisted laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy. *Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg.* 2018;24(06):e46–e48. Doi: 10.1097/SPV.0000000000000612
 - 20 Gupta P, Ehlert M, Bartley J, Gilleran J, Killinger KA, Boura JA, et al. Perioperative outcomes, complications, and efficacy of robotic-assisted prolapse repair: a single institution study of 196 patients. *Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg.* 2018;24(06):408–411. Doi: 10.1097/SPV.0000000000000472
 - 21 Pasquer A, Djeudji F, Pechoux A, Barth X. Spondylodiscitis after rectopexy: diagnostic of a rare complication. *Tech Coloproctol.* 2017;21(01):63–64. Doi: 10.1007/s10151-016-1566-8
 - 22 Feng TS, Thum DJ, Anger JT, Eilber KS. Sacral osteomyelitis after robotic sacrocolpopexy. *Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg.* 2016;22(01):e6–e7. Doi: 10.1097/SPV.0000000000000219
 - 23 Núñez-Pereira S, Huhmann NV, Rheinwalt KP, Bullmann V. Lumbosacral spondylodiscitis due to rectal fistula following mesh penetration 7 years after colpopexy. *Int J Surg Case Rep.* 2016;24:219–222. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijscr.2016.04.047
 - 24 Brito LG, Giraudet G, Lucot JP, Cosson M. Spondylodiscitis after sacrocolpopexy. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2015;187:72. Doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2015.02.024
 - 25 Tymchak ZA, Epp A, Fournay DR. Lumbosacral discitis-osteomyelitis after mesh abdominosacrocolpopexy. *Spine J.* 2015;15(01):194–195. Doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2014.08.004
 - 26 Vujovic Z, Cuarana E, Campbell KL, Valentine N, Koch S, Ziyaie D. Lumbosacral discitis following laparoscopic ventral mesh rectopexy: a rare but potentially serious complication. *Tech Coloproctol.* 2015;19(04):263–265. Doi: 10.1007/s10151-015-1279-4
 - 27 Kdoud M, Elhouda Kraiem N, Zhioua F, Ferchiou M. Pyogenic spondylodiscitis after laparoscopic sacral colpopexy with staples. *Gynécologie Obstétr Fertilité.* 2015;43(06):478–480. Doi: 10.1016/j.gyobfe.2015.03.013
 - 28 Arsene E, Giraudet G, Lucot JP, Rubod C, Cosson M. Sacral colpopexy: long-term mesh complications requiring reoperation (s). *Int Urogynecol J.* 2015;26(03):353–358. Doi: 10.1007/s00192-014-2514-7
 - 29 Probst P, Knoll SN, Breitenstein S, Karrer U. Vertebral discitis after laparoscopic resection rectopexy: a rare differential diagnosis. *J Surg Case Rep.* 2014;2014(08):rju075. Doi: 10.1093/jscr/rju075
 - 30 Anand M, Tanouye SL, Gebhart JB. Vesicosacrofistulization after robotically assisted laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy. *Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg.* 2014;20(03):180–183. Doi: 10.1097/SPV.0000000000000033
 - 31 Apostolis CA, Heiselman C. Sacral osteomyelitis after laparoscopic sacral colpopexy performed after a recent dental extraction: a case report. *Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg.* 2014;20(06):e5–e7. Doi: 10.1097/SPV.0000000000000092
 - 32 Roth TM, Reight I. Laparoscopic mesh explantation and drainage of sacral abscess remote from transvaginal excision of exposed sacral colpopexy mesh. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2012;23(07):953–955. Doi: 10.1007/s00192-011-1630-x
 - 33 Voelker A, Hoeckel M, Heyde CE. Lumbosacral spondylodiscitis after sacral colpopexy of a sigmoid neovagina in a patient with vaginal melanoma. *Surg Infect (Larchmt).* 2012;13(02):134–135. Doi: 10.1089/sur.2011.083
 - 34 Rajamaheswari N, Agarwal S, Seethalakshmi K. Lumbosacral spondylodiscitis: an unusual complication of abdominal sacrocolpopexy. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2012;23(03):375–377. Doi: 10.1007/s00192-011-1547-4
 - 35 Grimes CL, Tan-Kim J, Garfin SR, Nager CW. Sacral colpopexy followed by refractory *Candida albicans* osteomyelitis and discitis requiring extensive spinal surgery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;120(2 Pt 2):464–468. Doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e318256989e
 - 36 Draaisma WA, van Eijck MM, Vos J, Consten EC. Lumbar discitis after laparoscopic ventral rectopexy for rectal prolapse. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2011;26(02):255–256. Doi: 10.1007/s00384-010-0971-0
 - 37 Collins SA, Tulikangas PK, LaSala CA, Lind LR. Complex sacral abscess 8 years after abdominal sacral colpopexy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;118(2 Pt 2):451–454. Doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182234e7c
 - 38 Muffly TM, Diwadkar GB, Paraiso MF. Lumbosacral osteomyelitis after robot-assisted total laparoscopic hysterectomy and sacral colpopexy. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2010;21(12):1569–1571. Doi: 10.1007/s00192-010-1187-0
 - 39 Dalwai R, Menon KV, Kumar RJ. Pyogenic diskitis of the L5-S1 disk space following inadvertent placement of a sacrocolpopexy

- screw. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2010;111(03):268–269. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2010.07.012
- 40 Nosseir SB, Kim YH, Lind LR, Winkler HA. Sacral osteomyelitis after robotically assisted laparoscopic sacral colpopexy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;116(Suppl 2):513–515. Doi: 10.1097/AOG.0-b013e3181e10ea6
- 41 Descargues G, Bouzouita A, Grise P. [Infectious spondylodiscitis after a laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy: about one case]. *Gynécobstét.* 2009;37(02):183–185. Doi: 10.1016/j.gyobfe.2008.11.013
- 42 Downing KT. Vertebral osteomyelitis and epidural abscess after laparoscopic uterus-preserving cervicosacropey. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2008;15(03):370–372. Doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2007.12.006
- 43 Taylor GB, Moore RD, Miklos JR. Osteomyelitis secondary to sacral colpopexy mesh erosion requiring laminectomy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;107(2 Pt 2):475–477. Doi: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000187949.87223.06
- 44 Hart SR, Weiser EB. Abdominal sacral colpopexy mesh erosion resulting in a sinus tract formation and sacral abscess. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2004;103(5 Pt 2):1037–1040. Doi: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000121829.55491.0d
- 45 Salman MM, Hancock AL, Hussein AA, Hartwell R. Lumbosacral spondylodiscitis: an unreported complication of sacrocolpopexy using mesh. *BJOG.* 2003;110(05):537–538. Doi: 10.1046/j.1471-0528.2003.02012.x
- 46 Beloosesky Y, Grinblat J, Dekel A, Rabinerson D. Vertebral osteomyelitis after abdominal colposacropey. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2002;81(06):567–568. Doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0412.2002.810617.x
- 47 Kapoor B, Toms A, Hooper P, Fraser AM, C WF M Cox. Infective lumbar discitis following laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy. *J R Coll Surg Edinb.* 2002;47(05):709–710
- 48 Cosson M, Narducci F, Querleu D, Crépin G. [Experimental use of laparoscopic material: report of a case of spondylodiscitis after laparoscopic sacropey with Taker]. *Ann Chir.* 2001;126(06):554–556. Doi: 10.1016/S0003-3944(01)00554-5 French
- 49 Gertzbein SD, Hollopeter M, Bertini JE Jr, Murdock HD. An unusual cause of lumbar discitis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 1998;23(06):734–736. Doi: 10.1097/00007632-199803150-00017
- 50 Weidner AC, Cundiff GW, Harris RL, Addison WA. Sacral osteomyelitis: an unusual complication of abdominal sacral colpopexy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1997;90(4 Pt 2):689–691. Doi: 10.1016/s0029-7844(97)00306-2
- 51 Cranney A, Feibel R, Towe BW, Karsh J. Osteomyelitis subsequent to abdominal-vaginal sacropey. *J Rheumatol.* 1994;21(09):1769–1770
- 52 Cailleux N, Daragon A, Laine F, Deshayes P, Le Loët X, Duval C. [Infectious spondylodiscitis after a cure for genital prolapse. 5 cases]. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris).* 1991;20(08):1074–1078
- 53 Wagner L, Meurette G, Vidart A, Warembourg S, Terassa J-B, Berrogain N, et al. [Laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy for pelvic organ prolapse: guidelines for clinical practice]. *Prog Urol.* 2016;26(Suppl 1):S27–S37. Doi: 10.1016/S1166-7087(16)30426-2
- 54 Good MM, Abele TA, Balgobin S, Schaffer JI, Slocum P, McIntire D, et al. Preventing L5-S1 discitis associated with sacrocolpopexy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;121(2 Pt 1):285–290. Doi: 10.1097/AOG.0-b013e31827c61de